silver-lead system. It is thought that this dependence might help in the interpretation of the mechanisms involved.

Empirically, Q is usually obtained from a plot of log D vs 1/T, and  $D_O$  by extrapolation of this plot. Another way of obtaining Q, used by many authors for comparison, is to calculate Q from the Dushman-Langmuir equation;

$$D = \frac{d^2Q}{N h} \exp\left(\frac{-Q}{RT}\right) \tag{7}$$

consequently,

$$D_{o} = \frac{J^{2}Q}{Nh}$$
 (8)

where N is Avogadro's number, h is Plank's constant, and d is the interatomic spacing. The two approaches agree quite well in most cases.  $^{27}$  However, Van Liempt $^{28}$  points out that this agreement is no proof of equation 7 due to the insensitivity of values of Q obtained to changes as large as a factor of 10 in  $D_o$ . This objection may not be too serious in light of the statement made by Nowick $^{29}$  in defense of a similar equation by Zener "that values of  $D_o$  obtained from conventional plots of  $\ln D$  vs 1/T often appear to be in error by factors as great as  $10^8$ ."

Braun and Van Liempt, using Lindemann's theory of melting, derived an equation relating the activation energy to the melting temperature  $(T_{\rm m})$  of the solvent;

$$Q = 3b^2 R T_m \tag{9}$$

where b is a number characteristic of the solvent material, approximately equal to 2 for all materials. This equation shows fairly good agreement